REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

References to the claims by number have been removed from the specification.

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant elects Group I, the species of Figs. 1-4. Although the Restriction Requirement states that no claim appears to be generic, Applicant respectfully disagrees. Instead, Applicant submits that claims 1 and 6 are generic and that claims 3 and 4 read on the elected species. Hence, Applicant provisionally elects claims 1, 3, 4 and 6.

As all of the claims are directed to a single inventive concept, if a generic claim is finally held allowable, withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement is requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on February 27, 2006:

Robert C. Faber

Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

Signature

February 27, 2006

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

Robert C. Faber

Registration No.: 24,322

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

RCF:mjb